

GRS IX 5]

Previous references.

Reserve
(1922-23
Muz)

File No. 59-22

Serial Nos. 31-32

Later references.

May 19-27-33 35-42

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 31-32

Part B for August 24

SUBJECT

Claim of Mandakiniamma for
Rs 100 against the Khimnaka
Metti, Filtewhalli Taluk.

36
5/9/23

Muz 1]

1922

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 59
1922

FILE HEADING.

Suit filed by one Mandakiniamma against Shrinaga Dy. Commr as Trustee of Bhuvimahalles Mall in the Sub Court at Shimoga.

From The Muzrai Commissioner,

C No 862

Dated 23-7-22

Received 25-7-22

Diary No.

118

Enclos.

Spare Copies. ..

Serial No 31.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that the Suit is for the recovery of a sum of Rs 100/- with interest lent to the Mall Agents on 2.6.1921 for expenses connected with the Mall and that this item finds a place in the transactions of the Mall and Society's order.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Muz. Commr states that though the claim seems to be a valid one and admissible as such, the records are submitted for final orders, and solicits instructions whether the services of the Public Prosecutor may be engaged.

Serial
No.

and the claim itself admitted
and payment made accordingly
the muz. Comr further reports
that the Sub Judge has been
requested to adjourn the date
of hearing which has been fixed
to 3-7-1924.

Submtr. for orders

AL
26.7.24 26.7.24

The previous order from Muzrai
(785) was in accordance with legal
opinion. The amount involved in the
case is a small one, and may conveniently
be paid off. It is reported that it finds
entry in the Math accounts. In view of
however, the last sentence of the local
Magistrate's note viz - "Govt would therefore
be well advised not to prejudice the Successor
by making payments which may amount
to an acknowledgment of liability"
he may be consulted again in this case as
this course of action was adopted

Mr. - Beg

Tham
K. K. S. Y. and
Comp

WD 0561 - GPB - 9750 - 1822

26.7.24

29.7.24

7.20
Law

Serial
No.

If the claim is a valid one, there is
no necessity to contest the suit.
The ~~claim~~ claim may be admitted &
payment made.

Revised
with Comptroller.

II Preliminary.

84
19/8
2.8.22

109
6.8

I agree with the L & L Secy.

A copy may be sent to the
Tuz cum in accordance with
the opinion of the L & L Secy

7/8

19/8
SMD 15/2

DDP. Submits-

11/8
15.8.22. 16/8/22

in app

19.8.22

Rec. Secy

app out

2/8

15/8
20.8.22

III. 2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 59

of 1922.

Serial
No

32

To the Muzrai Comm^{rs}. No. 283 d. 23.8.24.
States that if the claim is a valid one, there is
no need to contest the suit & that the claim
may be admitted & the payment made.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

C 862 of 23-24

Dated Bangalore, 23rd July 1924.


One file

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., P.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e .



Dis. 118


Chandy. 59-22-21.

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., P.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.



Respect Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government correspondence ending with letter O.C.No.1082/Rev.23-24 dated 15th July 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, stating that one Mandakiniyamma has filed a suit against him in his capacity as Trustee of the Phoenixmatta Matha in the Sub Court at Shimoga for the recovery of a sum of Rs.100/- with interest lent to the agent of the matha on 2nd June 1921 for expenses connected with the matha and that the Sub Judge has been requested to adjourn the date of hearing which had been fixed to 5-7-1924 to some other date.

2. From the copy of the Plaint enclosed in the records it will be seen that the Deputy Commissioner has been impleaded as the 2nd Defendant and agent Lakshminarayanaiah as 1st Defendant. The Amildar has also reported that this item of Rs.100/- finds a place in the transactions of the matha.

Though the claim seems to be a valid one and admissible as such, the records are submitted for the orders of Government in view of the observations made in your letter No.168/Muz.23-24 dated 21st May 1924.

3. In the above circumstances I solicit instructions of Government whether the services of the Public Prosecutor may be engaged and the claim itself admitted and payment made accordingly.

With an annual income of very nearly Rs.5000/

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100



100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

Letter No.O.C.1052/R.v.22-23 dated 15th July 1924 from the ----
Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in --
Mysore.

"As desired in your No.C.862/25-24 dated the 2nd July 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the plaint, and that of the pronote, on which the suit has been filed against the Sri Bhemanakutte Matha, for dues alleged to be due to Mandakiniyamma by the Matha.

It is stated therein that the amount was borrowed by the Agent Mr. Lakshminarayanachar in the year 1921 for expenses to be incurred by the Matha. The Amildar reports that this item is entered in the Matt accounts and the Agent Lakshminarayanachar has certified on the bill that the amount is due to Mandakiniyamma. The vernacular papers ending with Thirthahalli Amildar's No.10 dated 7th July 1924, are enclosed for kind perusal."

"Copy"


Muzrai Commissioner. 

KSB.



Muz 4]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section *Muz.*

File No. *58-22*

Serial No. *32*

Muzrai Secretariat

()

, the

192

No. *283*

h. g. k.

Date of issue *23.8.21*

To

The Muz Comr

Signed by *M. The Commissioner* Sir,

*Adverting to your
letter no. C.862 of
23-7-1921 in the
matter of the suit
filed by Mandakiniyamma
in the Sub Court at
Shimoga against Dy
Comr, Shimoga. for
the recovery over Rs 100*

of \$100 with interest
lent on 2/6/1921 to the
Agent of Sni Blinwaka He
Mall, I am directed
to state that if the
claim is a valid one,
there is no necessity
to contest the suit,
and that the claim
may be admitted and
the payment made.

(2) The encls. are
returned.

List of enclosures

I have etc.
19.8.24.
Rev. Kestany
16 8.24

Copied by
Examined by